
Resolution of the 5th Enlarged Plenum of ECCI on the American Question.

Published in *International Press Correspondence* [Vienna],
vol. 5, no. 47 (June 4, 1925), pp. 729-731.

1. General Situation.

American capitalism has temporarily overcome its crisis. Masses of workers, who in the last few years took up the struggle against the arbitrary reduction of their standard of living have been defeated in tremendous Labor struggles and have been subjected to the heaviest yoke. Masses of small farmers, who were in a state of ferment because of the agricultural crisis, have been expropriated. At last the wide open price “scissors” was able to be closed in conformity with all the laws of capital; only in so doing the scissors cut these farmers off from their property. The requirements for the expansion of industrial export could, it is true, not be complied with in an adequate degree, but instead of this, new paths for the export of capital to impoverished Europe were opened. American finance capital has thus not only rescued its profit and its power on the home markets, but has won the position of the biggest shareholder among the world capitalist powers. It is now more powerful than ever before.

These victories of American capitalism have not been won without paying a big price. Even the Dawes Plan, which from the standpoint of Wall Street, appears as a ladder to world monopoly, is likely to draw American capitalism into a policy in which it stands to lose much more than merely its interest in German gold marks. It will involve it more

and more deeply in the contradictions and crises of European capitalism and also in the imperialist world arena in conflicts and struggles for markets, for interest, for oil, colonies and power.

Furthermore, class relations in America are developing in a direction menacing to capitalism. The increased pressure of exploitation has forced large masses of workers to the point where class-consciousness inevitably awakens even amongst the most politically backward wage slaves. The quality of exploitation which has been greatly extended, is changing more and more the former heterogeneity of the American working class. It is true that capitalism is still able to bribe millions of skilled American workers by positions of privilege, but the circle of this privileged class is growing smaller and smaller. Mass unemployment as a permanent social institution and the mass proletarianization of the small farmers, form, a fruitful ground for the revolutionizing process germinating in the depths. On the whole the situation of the American working class is at present far from being pregnant with revolution; it is different however, from what it was ten years ago.

The strengthening of the centralized government power, which interferes in the most brutal fashion in the everyday struggles of the working class, is an important factor in the increasing acuteness of the class struggles and in the acceleration of the crystallization of class-consciousness. The cessation of immigration from Europe, the influx of hundreds of thousands of ruined proletarianized farmers (farmers of American origin who speak English, possess political rights and who will offer an energetic resistance to the exploitation and oppression of the trusts) as unskilled, badly paid workers, into the large towns and industrial centers, represent an important change in the structure of the American proletariat.

It is true that the majority of the American workers do not yet feel any fundamental change in their position. But the developing trend of this position has undergone a fundamental change. This change is only very slowly and with difficulty being understood by the masses.

2. The process of the development of political independence of the American workers,

which commenced after the imperialist war and has continued under varying forms from year to year, is the political expression of the changed tendency of development of the class situation of the working class. This process is, however, still moving forward slowly and hesitatingly. This may be explained by various specific American causes and also partly because the American workers have a privileged position in comparison with that of workers in other countries. In no other capitalist country have the workers to overcome such internal and external obstacles in the beginning on their way to political independence. It is true that the time is already past when the reactionary leadership or the AF of L could sell the entire vote of the organized workers just as it pleased to the highest bidder of the two capitalist parties. The political position of leadership of the agents of capital at the head of the AF of L is in part undermined; in part paralyzed by the anti-capitalist sentiments of the masses, but it is far from being eliminated.

The opposition of masses of organized workers to the; continuance of their political bondage to the capitalists originally became apparent in various indefinite forms (for instance, in a Section of the organizations affiliated to the CPPA here and there in the Labor unions, etc.). However, it was clearer and more definite in the Farmer-Labor movement, which led in 1923 to the foundation of Farmer-Labor Parties in many States, and rallies considerable masses around its standard. The Communist Workers Party played, as we know, an effective part in this, movement, and for a certain period even set the pace in it.

Prior to the last presidential election, however, the petty-bourgeois liberal opposition movement led by LaFollette came to the forefront and irresistibly captured the mass sentiment of the semi-conscious, anti-capitalistically inclined workers and farmers.

3. The LaFollette Movement

as a genuine petty-bourgeois phenomenon was of a two-fold nature: on the one hand, it was an objective symptom of the disorganization in the camp of the bourgeoisie. On the other hand, in the prevailing crisis of American capitalism its objective purpose was the support of capital; to divert as a political safety valve the awakening class-consciousness of the proletariat from the consolidation of its independent class movement. Gompers understood this and allied himself with LaFollette.. The semi-conscious laboring masses, however, saw in LaFollette, a standard bearer against Big Business and followed him blindly, for the Farmer-Labor movement still lacked political independence in such a degree. The majority of the workers in. This movement desired the formation of a Labor Party, but they did not yet demand an independent proletarian class policy, they preferred rather to accept the guardianship of an opposition party of the petty-bourgeoisie. The path of the proletariat can even lead through such false roads in its first steps towards its constitution as a class.

4. The Fight of the Workers Party against LaFollette for the Labor Party Movement.

As was to have been expected at the beginning, LaFollette determinedly rejected any community of interest with a Farmer-Labor Party to be organized with the collaboration of the Workers Party, and he succeeded in isolating the Communists from the masses in the election campaign. For its part, the Workers Party opposed LaFollette just as unflinchingly even though without prospects of much success. It must be recognized that in the elections LaFollette gained an important victory. That does not mean that the tactics of the Workers Party were not correct. They were correct; our Party only met with a defeat which was not to be avoided under the given circumstances.

After this defeat a certain confusion became apparent in the ranks of the Workers Party. It seemed to the majority of the. CEC and many

comrades that the LaFollette movement had paralyzed any mass movement for a Labor Party for a long time to come. For a time after the elections it really appeared that even the masses who had previously supported the formation of an independent national-Farmer-Labor Party, wanted no other Party besides that of LaFollette. In this situation the majority of the CEC of the Workers Party drew the conclusion that the former chief slogan of the Party "For the Formation of a Farmer-Labor Party" had to be abandoned as useless, and the Party for the time had to concentrate its attention firstly on the unity of the fight for immediate concrete demands and upon the immediate strengthening of its own ranks.

Important and very symptomatic phenomena in the LaFollette movement have already proved that this conclusion was incorrect. At the first opportunity in which a decision upon the formation of a LaFollette Party was to be arrived at, at the conference of the CPPA (the most important organization in this movement), the adherents of the new Party split on the question of the form of organization. Whether the Party should be built up on individual membership — as LaFollette demanded — or on a basis of collective affiliation. LaFollette feels a very comprehensive fear of the preponderance of powerful labor organizations in his Party, but these latter are not inclined to make a renunciation of their influence through the bylaws. This struggle as to the form of the organization is of course an expression of the class differences and antagonisms in the LaFollette movement. Immediately after this split of the Conference of the CPPA, the Executive of the Socialist Party came out into the open with the slogan "for the formation of a Labor Party," with collective affiliation. The Socialist Party was also up to now one of the pillars of the LaFollette movement, and since it is now against the LaFollette Party on this question, this is of much more significance than the former platonic play of the Socialist Party with the Labor Party slogan. Numerically this reformist Party is now very small, but it has considerable ideological influence amongst the trade union officials.

In view of these facts there can scarcely be any doubt that in the

near future the problem of the Labor Party will even more than before be an actual, even the most important political question on the agenda of many trade unions and other Labor organizations. The Minority of the Central Executive Committee of the Workers Party was right in having confidence in the vitality and future of the Labor Party movement. The Workers Party must now do its utmost to further this movement.

5. Tactics in the Fight for a Labor Party.

Not the rejection of a struggle for the Labor Party, but an adjustment and further development of our tactics in this struggle are called for, by the present situation in America. Our slogan itself should now be revised in so far that we no longer agitate for a "Farmer-Labor Party" but only for a "Labor Party," since in the changed conditions the premises for the formation of a joint Party of workers and small farmers are lacking.

The Communists need not demand nor even expect that the Labor Party will immediately be a revolutionary, radical party of workers, in which the Communists will take the lead. In this respect the slogan has been put somewhat too narrowly by the Minority of the CEC. Communists should clearly realize that the formation of a Labor Party signifies for the affiliated workers only the beginning of their political emancipation and of the development of their class consciousness. It is very possible that in America, at first there will be for a time at the head of the Labor Party similar reformist Labor traitors to those, in England, or even worse. Nevertheless, the formation of such a Party may for a time represent a definite step forward in the American Labor movement, and the Communist Party is obliged to participate in this Party, if only the latter permit in a sufficient degree freedom of criticism and agitation on the part of the affiliated organizations.

Why must the Communists act thus?

Because it is their task to remain in closest contact with the masses in order to influence the latter continually in a revolutionary sense. However, mere agitation and propaganda, even the best, is not sufficient

for the revolutionary influencing of the masses. For this purpose the masses require their own revolutionary experience. They can obtain essential elements of this experience in the Labor Party, even though the latter be directed by reformists. In that case the masses, after their disappointments will learn to know the reasonable role of the petty-bourgeois reformists, and that is very important. Furthermore, they will gain valuable experience in the independent political organization of the working class.

The ideas of class and class consciousness should be inculcated as thoroughly as possible in the masses of the American working class by the preparatory campaign of the Communists for the formation of a Labor Party. This is not to be done abstractly, for it would have no success in this manner, but in immediate connection with the most urgent everyday demands of the workers. The Communists should induce the working masses to present these demands to the reformists and to the leaders of the LaFollette organizations and to call upon them for joint action with the Workers Party. Should they accept or reject such proposals — sooner or later the traitors will expose themselves. After every such instance, the masses of workers will, however, more and more clearly realize the necessity of an independent class Party of their own. And if they do not yet recognize the Communist Party as this class party they will still feel that the slogan of a Labor Party is the consequence of all their everyday demands, and thus this slogan gains vital mass power.

This fight will require persevering energy and much patience. It would be a mistake for us to begin too prematurely with the organizational measures for the formation of the Labor Party. This could only give the LaFollette crowd a hand and aid them to reconsolidate their own ranks. We, however, should on the contrary drive an ever deeper wedge into the LaFollette movement. Of course, the entire organized mass of workers will not join upon, the formation of such a Labor Party, but at best only a section. But the conditions for the successful formation are not ripe as long as there is not a firm mass basis of trade union support. The majority of the Central Committee was quite right in emphasizing

this point. If the Workers Party were merely to be combined with the organizations sympathizing with it, no Labor Party could be formed from this combination.

It may be that the mass support for the idea of the Labor Party will reveal itself so strongly in some cities and even in some states, that organizational measures can be taken without further hesitation. The formation of the National Labor Party should be advised against until at least 500,000 organized workers are definitely won over to it.

After the formation of the Labor Party, what the Executive emphasized a year ago should be kept in mind, that it is not advisable to endeavor to split off a Left Wing from the Labor Party as soon as possible in order to transform this split off section into a mass Communist Party. We must rather endeavor to win increasing masses in the Labor Party for the revolutionary point of view and to let this left wing grow within the Labor Party and at the same time to take the most advanced and revolutionary elements into the Workers Party. This policy is to be observed both prior to the formation of the Labor Party and subsequently.

6. Strengthening and Consolidation of the Workers Party.

The fight for the formation of the Labor Party in no way excludes propaganda and recruiting activity for the winning and training of new members for the Workers Party. On the contrary, this work should be carried out simultaneously with the utmost intensity. The role of the Workers Party as the Communist Party of the country should neither be hidden nor diminished.

As the Workers Party is at present constituted, it is still altogether too weak to collaborate with sufficient effectiveness in the proletarian mass movement of this great country. This natural weakness was particularly evident in its election campaign. As long as the Workers Party does not at least double its membership (and especially increase manifold the number of its American members), it cannot be said that

it fulfills the requirements of an American (legal) Communist Party. Under no circumstances should it underestimate in any way the importance of such "minor" tasks, as the circulation of the *Dally Worker* and the establishment of new organs, the development of the *Workers Monthly*, the publication and distribution of good propaganda literature, the organization of Party schools, recruiting weeks, etc.

The organizational structure of the Party still lacks to a considerable extent the necessary cohesion. This is to be improved primarily by systematic, thorough concentration of the Party members of all the different nationalities in centralized organizations. The formation of international branches and factory nuclei is therefore on this account the most urgent organizational task of the Party.

7. Communist Trade Union Work.

In America the regular work of Party members in the trade unions must be considered now, as the fundamental work on which depends the success of the Party in most of the other fields, and especially the struggle for a Labor Party. Therefore, any tendency to neglect or minimize the importance of this work must be energetically combated. In every single trade union organization Party members must be organized into a Communist Fraction and must act unitedly on every question. These fractions get their instructions from the Party and work under its control. Communist fractions must take an active and energetic part in all mass economic struggles.

The Party must give energetic support to the Trade Union Educational League and do its utmost to develop and extend it. Efforts must be made to convert the TUEL into a great, opposition movement of the Left bloc. All attempts of the reactionary trade union bureaucracy to isolate the TUEL, to undermine its influence in the trade unions and to limit the membership to Communists and their closest sympathizers must be energetically resisted.

8. Party Work on the Other Fields.

Although the Party is at this time not to propagate the formation of a common party for workers and farmers, it must not only work among the agricultural laborers, but must at the same time do its utmost to get into contact with and exercise control over the poorest tenant farmers and farmers who are in debt; for they are destined to play a very important role in the American revolution as future allies of the proletariat. Neither does this modification of the main political slogan of the Party mean that the Party is not to work in the already existing Farmer-Labor Parties. The task of the Communists in the existing Farmer-Labor Parties is as follows: they must organize the industrial elements as a special wing, which should be drawn at an opportune moment into a Labor Party and form a bloc with the organizations of the poor farmers.

The Party must pay more attention and give more support to the work of the Communist youth. It is moreover of the utmost importance for the Party to make at last in good earnest a beginning with the work among the working women. The women members of the Party and non-Party working women are to be drawn into revolutionary work which the Party must organize. The existing non-Party proletarian women organizations are not to be done away with, but should be made use of for revolutionary work.

9. Struggle against Lore's Opportunism.

Lore represents a non-Communist tendency in the Workers Party. Already the decision of the ECCI in May 1924, pointed out that Comrade Lore's ideology was the ideology of the Two and a Half International. Lore supported Levi against the CI. He misinterpreted the policy of the CI almost on every question. He declared that the main task of the Communist Party of Germany in the revolutionary situation of 1923 should have been to prevent the revolution by every possible means. Lore spread the most ridiculous illusions concerning

the “mission to establish world peace” of Ramsay MacDonald. He warned the French Communists against the overthrow of Herriot. He fought against the necessary centralism of the Party in -the name of the autonomy of the German Federation. The ideological struggle against Comrade Lore’s tendency is essential for the Party.

The Executive proposes to the Workers Party to come to a definite decision on the Lore question at its, next congress. In any case the Executive is of the opinion that the Central Committee of the Party is not the place for such an opportunist as Lore.

10. The Firm Consolidation of Communist Forces.

The above platform, adopted by the Enlarged Executive Committee of the Communist International, has also been approved by the representatives of both groups in the Workers Party.

The Executive Committee of the CI discovered errors in the attitudes of both groups which must be rectified.

The Executive Committee is of the definite opinion that fractional conflicts between the two groups must now absolutely cease. Although it is true that this fractional conflict arose out of real differences, it has, nevertheless, been of too acute a character on both sides and at times assumed impermissible forms. The Executive Committee does not object to a concrete and calm discussion being carried on until the Party Congress, but, in the interest of Party unity it demands the unconditional cessation of Party warfare.

In particular, the Executive Committee must point out that it regards a campaign conducted against Comrade Pepper as absolutely uncalled for, all the more since, firstly, comrade Pepper himself has no intention of returning to work in the Workers Party, and secondly the Executive Committee desires to use his energies for other important tasks. The Executive Committee knows

that Comrade Pepper during his brief stay in America performed services for the Workers Party for which he deserves praise. The Executive Committee demands that all personal polemics on both sides should cease.

The Executive Committee regards it as absolutely essential that the representatives of the Party majority and minority should henceforward conclude a fraternal peace and work in communist cooperation. The leading comrades are primarily responsible for setting a good example to the other Party members in this respect.

The Party Congress will be held at an early date. All disputed questions which may arise between the two groups in the Party Central Committee in the interval, and which cannot be agreed upon, are to be settled in a parity commission under the chairmanship of a neutral comrade. This commission shall also control the actual conduct of the Party discussion.

The Executive Committee is of the opinion that the Party Congress, in a calm atmosphere, free from all fractional passions, should elect the Party Central Committee from among the comrades of both groups. The group which will be in the minority at the Party Congress must in any case be assured a large representation in the Central Committee.

Naturally, both the groups, having adopted this platform, must at the Party Congress actively oppose any of the followers of Lore being elected to the Central Committee.

Edited by Tim Davenport.

Published by 1000 Flowers Publishing, Corvallis, OR, 2011.

Non-commercial reproduction permitted.